

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,743	08/19/2003	Real Lemicux	701826-054340	4127
50828 DAVID S. RES	7590 07/09/2007 SNICK	EXAMINER		
100 SUMMER STREET			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
NIXON PEABODY LLP BOSTON, MA 02110-2131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/643,743	LEMIEUX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSIGNS OF THE MAILING OF TH	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		—· s action is non-final.				
′_	•		secution as to the merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8,11,12,14-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖾	⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er				
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach	(6)					
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		(DTO 440)			
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Pager No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/643,743

Art Unit: 1644

1. Claims 9,10,13 are under consideration.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 9,10,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in the specification as originally filed for the recitation of "with serum proteins other than IgG" in claim 9. Regarding applicants comments, whilst original claim 3 precludes use of IgG as the immunoaffinity ligand in the purification process, it does not state that the purified antibodies do not bind IgG. The cross reactivity of anti Ig antibodies is well known in the art (see Goding, section 8.5). Goding discloses that antisera against IgG cross react with other Ig classes (see section 8.5, page 290). Thus, even if IgG was not present as the immunochromatography ligand, anti IgG antibodies could be present if the immunochromatography ligand was another Ig class (eg. IgM). Thus, original claim 3 does not provide support for the scope of the limitation under consideration because antibodies excluded from claim 9 could be present even if IgG was not used as the immunoaffinity ligand.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,743 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

5. Claims 9,10,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bourel et al. (EP 1059088 A1) as evidenced by Bruley-Rosset et al.

Bourel et al. disclose purified autoantibodies isolated from IVIg, wherein said autoantibodies bind DNP-Lysine (aka DNP-haptene) and do not bind IgG (see abstract, claims 1-3,9 [0010], [0001], [00014]). Bourel et al. disclose a composition of said antibodies and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see [0070]). It is an inherent property of said antibodies that said antibodies bind cytokines (aka serum proteins, see Bruley-Rosset et al., page 1017, second column, last paragraph). The functional properties of said antibodies recited in the claims are an inherent property of autoantibodies which bind soluble human serum proteins. Said antibodies are capable of forming autoimmune complexes because they are antibodies which bind soluble proteins present in human serum. Said autoantibodies have the property of claim 10 because they are the same as the autoantibodies disclosed in the specification (antibodies which bind soluble human serum proteins).

Regarding applicants comments, as per the instant rejection Bourel et al. also teach compositions other than those with anti-IgG antibodies, wherein said compositions anticipate the instant claims as per above.

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINED
GROUP 1800 | 600

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644